# DCM - Dynamical Multi-Body Model

Dehaeze Thomas

November 30, 2021

## Contents

1	System Kinematics	4
	1.1 Bragg Angle	4
	1.2 Kinematics (111 Crystal)	4
	1.2.1 Interferometers - 111 Crystal	5
	1.2.2 Piezo - 111 Crystal	6
	1.3 Save Kinematics	8
2	Open Loop System Identification	9
	2.1 Identification	9
	2.2 Plant in the frame of the fastjacks	10
	2.3 Plant in the frame of the crystal	10
3	Active Damping Plant (Strain gauges)	13
	3.1 Identification	13
	3.2 Relative Active Damping	13
	3.3 Damped Plant	15
4	Active Damping Plant (Force Sensors)	17
	4.1 Identification	17
	4.2 Controller - Root Locus	17
	4.3 Damped Plant	19
	4.4 Save	19
5	HAC-LAC (IFF) architecture	21

In this document, a Simscape (.e.g. multi-body) model of the ESRF Double Crystal Monochromator (DCM) is presented and used to develop and optimize the control strategy.

It is structured as follow:

- Section 1: the kinematics of the DCM is presented, and Jacobian matrices which are used to solve the inverse and forward kinematics are computed.
- Section 2: the system dynamics is identified in the absence of control.
- Section 3: it is studied whether if the strain gauges fixed to the piezoelectric actuators can be used to actively damp the plant.
- Section 4: piezoelectric force sensors are added in series with the piezoelectric actuators and are used to actively damp the plant using the Integral Force Feedback (IFF) control strategy.
- Section 5: the High Authority Control Low Authority Control (HAC-LAC) strategy is tested on the Simscape model.

## **1** System Kinematics

### 1.1 Bragg Angle



24.963

Results

### 1.2 Kinematics (111 Crystal)

The reference frame is taken at the center of the 111 second crystal.

#### 1.2.1 Interferometers - 111 Crystal

Three interferometers are pointed to the bottom surface of the 111 crystal.

The position of the measurement points are shown in Figure 1.2 as well as the origin where the motion of the crystal is computed.

•  $\mathcal{O}_1 = (-0.07, -0.015)$  $\mathcal{O}_{111} \longrightarrow x$ y•  $\mathcal{O}_2 = (0, 0.015)$ 

Figure 1.2: Bottom view of the second crystal 111. Position of the measurement points.

The inverse kinematics consisting of deriving the interferometer measurements from the motion of the crystal (see Figure 1.6):



Figure 1.3: Inverse Kinematics - Interferometers

From the Figure 1.2, the inverse kinematics can be solved as follow (for small motion):

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{s,111} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.07 & -0.015 \\ 1 & 0 & 0.015 \\ 1 & -0.07 & -0.015 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.2)

Tabl	le	1.1:	Sensor	Jacobian	$J_{s,111}$

Matlab \_

1.0	0.07	-0.015	
1.0	0.0	0.015	
1.0	-0.07	-0.015	

The forward kinematics is solved by inverting the Jacobian matrix (see Figure 1.4).

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_z \\ r_y \\ r_x \end{bmatrix} = J_{s,111}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.3)
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} d_z \\ r_y \\ r_x \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 1.4: Forward Kinematics - Interferometers

Table 1.	2: Invers	se of the	$\operatorname{sensor}$	Jacobian	$J_{s,111}^{-1}$
	0.25	0.5	0.25		
	7.14	0.0	-7.14		
	-16.67	33.33	-16.67		

#### 1.2.2 Piezo - 111 Crystal

The location of the actuators with respect with the center of the 111 second crystal are shown in Figure 1.5.

Inverse Kinematics consist of deriving the axial (z) motion of the 3 actuators from the motion of the crystal's center.

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_{u_r} \\ d_{u_h} \\ d_d \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{J}_{a,111} \begin{bmatrix} d_z \\ r_y \\ r_x \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.4)

Based on the geometry in Figure 1.5, we obtain:

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{a,111} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.14 & -0.1525\\ 1 & 0.14 & 0.0675\\ 1 & -0.14 & -0.0425 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.5)

Matlab X% Actuator Jacobian - 111 crystal J\_a\_111 = [1, 0.14, -0.1525 1, 0.14, 0.0675 1, -0.14, -0.0425];

Table 1	1.3:	Actuator	Jacobian	$J_{a,111}$
---------	------	----------	----------	-------------

1.0	0.14	-0.1525	
1.0	0.14	0.0675	
1.0	-0.14	-0.0425	



Figure 1.5: Location of actuators with respect to the center of the 111 second crystal (bottom view)



Figure 1.6: Inverse Kinematics - Actuators

The forward Kinematics is solved by inverting the Jacobian matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_z \\ r_y \\ r_x \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{J}_{a,111}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} d_{u_r} \\ d_{u_h} \\ d_d \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.6)



Figure 1.7: Forward Kinematics - Actuators for 111 crystal

Table 1.4	: Inverse	of the act	uator Jacol	pian $J_{a,111}^{-1}$
_	0.0568	0.4432	0.5	-
	1.7857	1.7857	-3.5714	
	-4.5455	4.5455	0.0	

\_ Matlab \_

### 1.3 Save Kinematics

save('mat/dcm\_kinematics.mat', 'J\_a\_111', 'J\_s\_111')

### 2 Open Loop System Identification

#### 2.1 Identification

Let's considered the system G(s) with:

- 3 inputs: force applied to the 3 fast jacks
- 3 outputs: measured displacement by the 3 interferometers pointing at the 111 second crystal

It is schematically shown in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1: Dynamical system with inputs and outputs

The system is identified from the Simscape model.

```
      Matlab

      Matlab

      Cear io; io_i = 1;

      % Inputs

      % Control Input (3x1) [N]

      io(io_i) = linio([mdl, '/control_system'], 1, 'openinput'); io_i = io_i + 1;

      % Outputs

      % Interferometers (3x1) [m]

      io(io_i) = linio([mdl, '/DCM'], 1, 'openoutput'); io_i = io_i + 1;

      % Extraction of the dynamics

      G = linearize(mdl, io);

      size(G)

      Results
```

State-space model with 3 outputs, 3 inputs, and 24 states.

#### 2.2 Plant in the frame of the fastjacks

Using the forward and inverse kinematics, we can computed the dynamics from piezo forces to axial motion of the 3 fastjacks (see Figure 2.2).



Figure 2.2: Use of Jacobian matrices to obtain the system in the frame of the fastjacks

```
______ Matlab ______
%% Compute the system in the frame of the fastjacks
G_pz = J_a_111*inv(J_s_111)*G;
```

The DC gain of the new system shows that the system is well decoupled at low frequency.

Table 2.1: DC gain of the plant in the frame of the last jacks G	Ta	ble	2.1:	DC	gain	of	$_{\mathrm{the}}$	plant	in	the	frame	of	the	fast	jacks	G	fi
--	----	-----	------	----	------	----	-------------------	-------	----	-----	-------	----	-----	------	-------	---	----

4.4407 e-09	2.7656e-12	1.0132e-12
2.7656e-12	4.4407 e-09	1.0132e-12
1.0109e-12	1.0109e-12	4.4424e-09

The bode plot of  $G_{fj}(s)$  is shown in Figure 2.3.

#### Important

Computing the system in the frame of the fastjack gives good decoupling at low frequency (until the first resonance of the system).

#### 2.3 Plant in the frame of the crystal



Figure 2.3: Bode plot of the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the plant in the frame of the fast jacks



Figure 2.4: Use of Jacobian matrices to obtain the system in the frame of the crystal

G_mr = inv(J_s_111)*G*inv(J_a_111');		Matlab		
		N . 7 I		
dcgain(G_mr)		Matlad		
	1.0050.00			
	1.9978e-09	3.9657e-09	7.7944e-09	
	3.9656e-09	8.4979e-08	-1.5135e-17	
	7.7944e-09	-3.9252e-17	1.834e-07	

This results in a coupled system. The main reason is that, as we map forces to the center of the 111 crystal and not at the center of mass/stiffness of the moving part, vertical forces will induce rotation and torques will induce vertical motion.

## 3 Active Damping Plant (Strain gauges)

In this section, we wish to see whether if strain gauges fixed to the piezoelectric actuator can be used for active damping.

### 3.1 Identification

Matlab
%% Input/Output definition
%% Inputs % Control Input (3v1) [N]
<pre>io(io_i) = linio([mdl, '/control_system'], 1, 'openinput'); io_i = io_i + 1;</pre>
VV Outpute
% Strain Gauges {3x1} [m]
<pre>io(io_i) = linio([mdl, '/DCM'], 2, 'openoutput'); io_i = io_i + 1;</pre>
Matlab
% Extraction of the dynamics
Matlab
4 4443e-09 1 0339e-13 3 774e-14
1 0339e-13 4 4443e-09 3 774e-14
$3.7792e_14$ $3.7792e_14$ $4.4444e_09$
0.11920-14 0.11920-14 4.44440-09

#### Important

As the distance between the poles and zeros in Figure 4.1 is very small, little damping can be actively added using the strain gauges. This will be confirmed using a Root Locus plot.

#### 3.2 Relative Active Damping



Figure 3.1: Bode Plot of the transfer functions from piezoelectric forces to strain gauges measuremed displacements



As can be seen in Figure 3.2, very little damping can be added using relative damping strategy using strain gauges.



Figure 3.2: Root Locus for the relative damping control
Matlab

Krad = -g\*Krad\_g1;

#### 3.3 Damped Plant

The controller is implemented on Simscape, and the damped plant is identified.

Matlab \_

load('mat/dcm\_kinematics.mat');

Matlab

%% Identification of the Open Loop plant controller.type = 0; % Open Loop G\_ol = J\_a\_111\*inv(J\_s\_111)\*linearize(mdl, io); G\_ol.InputName = {'u\_ur', 'u\_uh', 'u\_d'}; G\_ol.OutputName = {'d\_ur', 'd\_uh', 'd\_d'};

```
Matlab

%% Identification of the damped plant with Relative Active Damping

controller.type = 2; % RAD

G_dp = J_a_111*inv(J_s_111)*linearize(mdl, io);

G_dp.InputName = {'u_ur', 'u_uh', 'u_d'};

G_dp.OutputName = {'d_ur', 'd_uh', 'd_d'};
```



Figure 3.3: Bode plot of both the open-loop plant and the damped plant using relative active damping

## 4 Active Damping Plant (Force Sensors)

Force sensors are added above the piezoelectric actuators. They can consist of a simple piezoelectric ceramic stack. See for instance [1].

### 4.1 Identification

Matlab %% Input/Output definition clear io; io\_i = 1; %% Inputs % Control Input {3x1} [N] io(io\_i) = linio([mdl, '/control\_system'], 1, 'openinput'); io\_i = io\_i + 1; %% Outputs % Force Sensor {3x1} [m] io(io\_i) = linio([mdl, '/DCM'], 3, 'openoutput'); io\_i = io\_i + 1; %% Extraction of the dynamics G\_fs = linearize(mdl, io);

dcgain(G\_fs)

\_ Matlab \_

-1.4113e-13	1.0339e-13	$3.774e{-}14$
1.0339e-13	-1.4113e-13	3.774e-14
3.7792e-14	3.7792e-14	-7.5585e-14

#### 4.2 Controller - Root Locus

Matlah	
Kiff_g1 = eye(3)*1/(1 + s/2/pi/20);	

%% Integral Force Feedback Controller Kiff = g\*Kiff\_g1; Matlab \_







Figure 4.2: Root Locus plot for the IFF Control strategy

### 4.3 Damped Plant

Matlab %% Input/Output definition clear io; io\_i = 1; %% Inputs
% Control Input {3x1} [N]
io(io\_i) = linio([mdl, '/control\_system'], 1, 'input'); io\_i = io\_i + 1; %% Outputs
% Force Sensor {3x1} [m]
io(io\_i) = linio([mdl, '/DCM'], 1, 'openoutput'); io\_i = io\_i + 1;

%% DCM Kinematics
load('mat/dcm\_kinematics.mat');

%% Identification of the Open Loop plant controller.type = 0; % Open Loop G\_ol = J\_a\_111\*inv(J\_s\_111)\*linearize(mdl, io); G\_ol.InputName = {'u\_ur', 'u\_uh', 'u\_d'}; G\_ol.OutputName = {'d\_ur', 'd\_uh', 'd\_d'};

Matlab	
6 Identification of the damped plant with IFF	
ontroller.type = 1; % IFF	
_dp = J_a_111*inv(J_s_111)*linearize(mdl, io);	
_dp.InputName = {'u_ur', 'u_uh', 'u_d'};	
_dp.OutputName = { 'd_ur', 'd_uh', 'd_d'};	

\_\_\_ Matlab \_\_

\_ Matlab \_

#### Important

The Integral Force Feedback control strategy is very effective in damping the suspension modes of the DCM.

#### 4.4 Save

save('mat/Kiff.mat', 'Kiff');

Matlab \_



Figure 4.3: Bode plot of both the open-loop plant and the damped plant using IFF

# 5 HAC-LAC (IFF) architecture

## Bibliography

 Andrew J Fleming and Kam K Leang. "Integrated Strain and Force Feedback for High-Performance Control of Piezoelectric Actuators". In: Sensors and Actuators A: Physical 161.1-2 (2010), pp. 256– 265.